Single Bench

CF-1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION [S] NO. 4224 /2006

PETITIONER :- Bholaram Dansena, son of Jagatram Dansena, aged about 39 years, Resident of village Gonda, Police Station Saria, Tahsil Sarangarh, District Raigarh [C.G.].

P.R. No. 4310/06
Presented by Payanzrathinam
dated 2/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1] State of Chhattisgarh, through – Chief Secretary , D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur [C,G,].

2] Cooperative Society, Raigarh, Through- Sub-Registrar, Raigarh.

3] Officer-In-Charge-, Cooperative Society, Salheona, District Raigarh [C.G.].

4] Collector, Raigarh, District Raigarh [C.G.].

5] Banshidhar Patel S/o Mali Lal Patel, R/o village Katungpali, P.O. Bonda, Police Station Saria, District Baramkela.

RECEIVED-COPY
Baretha
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
7.8.06

8/8/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 4241 of 2006

Petitioner Bholaram Dansena

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Shri Pawan Kesharwani, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri V.V.S. Moorthy, Deputy Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 13th day of December, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner was working as a part time Manager in the office of the respondent No. 2. On the basis of complaint made by the villagers that at the time of purchasing of paddy, the petitioner has been mis-appropriation 1 k.g. more paddy by way of wrong weighting. Notice was issued to the petitioner. The petitioner submitted his reply.

2. After having considered the reply filed by the petitioner, the Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Raigarh by his order dated 5.4.2006 (Annexure P/3) held the petitioner not guilty. Further, it was directed that the petitioner should not be given the work of

purchase of rise and he should be posted in the section dealing with disbursing and recovery of loans.

3. The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction for joining the post where he was earlier posted. The petitioner is not in a position to point out any right which has accrued in favour of the petitioner and further there was any breach of such right. The order passed by the Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Raigarh is in favour of the petitioner. The petitioner has not made out any ground by which it can be held that the concerned or senior authorities are not acting in compliance of the order. Thus, this petition is vague, cryptic, frivolous and devoid of merit.

4. This petition deserves to be dismissed as not maintainable and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge